G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1911-12

(Gen Misc)

File No. 25-10

Serial Nos. 44057

Papers Filed.

Month July 1911

SUBJECT.

Application of Mrs. Venkataramayamma Proby Asst Collr about his promotion Confirmation &c—

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.
Fm 25-10 · 4 0018 " 22-08 9·10·13 " Ger-09-20 " 12-06-68. Fm Gp. 88648 28/6/06.	Fm 39-11 28.206

G.R.S. 11] 1910-11

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Gen. Misc.)

NOTES.

File No. 25

FILE HEADING.

Appointments & promotions of Asst Comrs

From

The Revenue Commissioner

No. 10834 Dated 17.4.11 Received 24.4.11.

Diary No. 453 Enclos. 2 Spare Copies - Serial No. 44

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Submits for information an applm. from Mr. S. Venkata-Rangam Prob Asst Comr, representing that the distinction made in the Civil Service Rules between Asst Comrs of A & B Schedules with regard to the period of probationary service they should put in prior to confirmation is unfair and praying that the rules may be so modified as to provide for equality of treatment to both classes of Asst Comrs & that he may be confirmed with effect from the 29th Jul, 1910

NOTES AND ORDERS.

When Mr. Venkatarangam represented these facts last year and prayed for confirmation at the end of two years' probation as in the case of Prob Asst Comrs of the A Schedule he was informed that as ~~one~~ a Prob. Asst Comr

× Fin 42-09-18

NOTES—First sheet.

GPB—15,000—24-4-09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 25 of 1910-11

Serial No.

of Sch. B he could be eligible for confirmation only after he had put in three years of probationary service under the Mysore Civil Service Rules of 27th June 1907. He now says, and it appears somewhat strange, that he came to know the existence of these rules only recently i.e. apparently when the above reply was communicated to him. He observes with reference to these rules that the distinction made between prob.ry Asst. Comrs. of the two schedules with regard to their eligibility for confirmation is invidious and repeats his request for confirmation with effect from the 29th July 1910 i.e. at the end of two years' probationary service.

Submitted for orders as to whether a reply in terms of the G.O. of 16th Sept. 1909 may be sent.

This may be done, Gen 42-09-20

26/4/11 As regards the suggested modification of the rules, a comparison of the revised rules of 1909 with the rules of 1906 will show that the prospects of promotion of Asst. Comrs. of both the schedules have been practically equalized, the only distinction between prob. Asst. Comrs. of the two schedules that has not been removed by the new rules being the requirement of 3 years' instead of 2 years' probationary service for confirmation

NOTE— Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 25 of 1910-11.

Serial No.

45-3

in the Case of Prof. Asstt. Commr. of Fds. B.

2/114 15.4.11

26.4.11 (Secy)

28/4/11

The rule referred to was adopted with due deliberation ~~& so with~~ full Cognizance of ~~it~~ the manner of its operation. ~~Until it is changed~~ Mr Venkataramyam was appointed subject to that rule and he cannot fairly ask that it should be set aside in his favour. It is absurd that he should say that he became aware of the existence of the rule only quite recently.

This is not the place to discuss the equity & rationale of the rule.

A reply may be given that ~~Govt~~ Govt cannot confirm him as requested.

115 30
8.5.11

Dewan)

Please Circulate to Committee Members for Committee meeting — by Tuesday May 11.

Circulate & note for meeting.

noted
9/6/11

1/6/11

5/6/11

1/6/11

Secy Govt
9/6/11

NOTE— Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

Mechl

C. 351.
2. 6. 1911.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Council Matter.

SUBJECT.

Application of Mr. S. Venkatarangam, Probationary Asst Comr praying —

(1) that the Civil Service Rules may be so modified as to take away the distinction made between the Asst: Comrs of A & B Schedules with regard to the period of probationary service they should put in prior to confirmation and

(2) that he may be confirmed with effect from 29th July 1910.

CIRCULATED TO COUNCILLORS.

By Order,

2d June 1911.

C Balasundaram ammyr
Offg. Secretary to Government.

K. P. Puttánna Chetty, Esq.,
First Councillor.

H. V. Nanjundayya, Esq., M.A., M.L.,
Second Councillor.

GPB—500—7-1-10

G.R.S. 15]

5

Facing sheet for Cases included in the schedule appended to Notification No. 36 of the 8th. Aug. 1902.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Subject— Confirmation of Mr. S. Venkataramanan for 29.7.1911. Le.

	Date	Initial of Officer himself or responsible Deputy
Received in the Secretariat	29.4.11	
Submitted to Secretary	28.4.11	
Submitted by Secretary ~~to~~ Dewan ~~or Councillor in charge~~	6.5.11	
Returned to Secretary	29.5.11	
Circulated by Secretary for Council	2.6.11	
Forwarded by 2nd Councillor		
Forwarded by Councillor		
Received in Secretariat		
Considered in Council		
Submitted to the Dewan		
Submitted to His Highness		
Orders passed by His Highness		
Final Orders issued		
Circulated to Councillors		
Passed on by the Councillor		
Returned to Secretary by Councillor.		

GPB—1,000—18-10-09

12128/19.6.11

6

Extract from the Proceedings of the 264th Meeting of the Council of His Highness the Maharaja, held at Mysore on the 15th & 16th June 1911.

13. Subject. - (Clause v of the Schedule).

Application from Mr.S.Venkatarangam, Probationary Assistant Commissioner, requesting for reasons stated that he should be confirmed after a probation of two years instead of three years.

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.

The request is inadmissible under the rules.

"Extract"

sd/- T. Ananda Row.
DEWAN OF MYSORE

C.S.Balasundaramayya
Offg. Secretary to Govt.

7

Submitted to His Highness.

Mr. S. Venkatarangam was nominated as a Probationary Assistant Commissioner on the 29th July 1908, and reported himself for duty on the 1st August 1908. Under Rule X of the Civil Service Rules of 27th June 1907 he has to put in a period of three years' probation.

2. In July 1910, he wrote to me and asked to be confirmed after two years' probation as in the case of Probationers of the A Schedule. His attention was then drawn to Rule X referred to above, and he was informed that he should complete three years' probation.

3. He has now submitted an application in which he says that he was not aware of the existence of the above rule, and requests that the difference in treatment between Probationers of the A and B Schedules may be removed and that he may be confirmed after two years' probation.

4. It may be noted that Rule X, prescribing a period of three years' probation in the case of those nominated to the Civil Service on family and other considerations and not as the result of a competitive

8

examination, was deliberately adopted.

5. The Council has considered the application and is of opinion that the request is inadmissible under the rules. If approved, Mr. Venkatarangam will be informed accordingly.

lm.

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja

Subject.

Application of Mr.S. Venkatarangam Probationary Assistant Commissioner for confirmation after a probation of 2 years instead of 3 years.

779.

Order.

~~KRW~~

23/6/11

Secy

Pubpa a brief draft

26/6/11

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Gen File No. 25 of 1910

D

Serial No.	
457	Draft Subd Ap. 114 15.5.41 22/6/11 Secy) 28/6/11
	Issue 28/6/11 30/6/11
57	To the Rev: Commr: No. Cs 247 Dt 11. 7. 11. With ref^ce to Sl 44, request him to inform Mr. Venkatarangam that his request is inadmissible under the rules.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

No. 10834
17 file

OFFICE OF THE REV. COMR. IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 17th April 1911. *191*

22

FROM

Rajakarya Pravina
A. RANGASWAMI IYENGAR, ESQ., B. A., B. L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General & Revenue Departments.

SIR,

I have the honor to submit herewith, for the information of Government, copy of letter No.691 dated 12th April 1911 together with its enclosures in original, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, submitting the application of Mr.S.Venkatarangam, Probationary Assistant Commissioner, requesting for the modification of the Mysore ~~Service~~ Civil Service Rules.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Revenue Commissioner.

B.K.R.

A 4537

191 -191 .

From

RAJAKARYA PRAVINA

A. Rangaswami Iyengar, Esq., B. A., B. L.,

Revenue Commissioner.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore
General & Revenue Department.

Dated 17th [illegible]

Despatched 22nd April 191[illegible].

Received

General No. [illegible]

Enclosure No.

SUBJECT.

Submits for information applications of Mr. S. Venkatramaiya, District Treasury Officer, Kolar, requesting notification of the Mysore Civil Service Rules.

Saxon Press, Bangalore, 2000—8-11.

Letter No.691 dated 12th April 1911, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Revenue Commis-sioner in Mysore.

I have the honor to forward herewith for such action as you may deem fit to take, the application of Mr.S.Venkatarangam, Probationary Assistant Commissioner in charge of the Mysore District Treasury requesting that the Mysore Civil Service Rules may be modified and to state that I have no opinion to offer in the matter, which is one for the Government to decide.

---------oOo---------

" C O P Y ".

For Revenue Commissioner.

B.K.R.

Mysore 31 March 1911
Dated 1st April

3

Received in person
1/4/11

To
The
Dy. Commissioner
Mysore

M. Kantha Raj Urs Esq B.A.
Dy. Commissioner,
Mysore

Sir,

I have the honour to bring to your kind notice the undue harshness of the Civil Service Rules which require Probationary Assistant Commissioners of B. Schedule to put in three years of probation whereas the same set of rules require Probationary Assistant Commissioners of A Schedule to put in only two years of probation. Government as you are aware Communicated to me through you the existence of this rule only the other day.

I have served under you for a long time and you

are quite Competent to judge about my work. You are also aware that I have passed the examinations and undergone the trainings prescribed for Assistant Commissioners, within two years from the date of my appointment.

While the training, the examinations and responsibilities of Assistant Commissioners of both Schedules are the Same, I beg to Submit it is against natural justice to require us to put in three years of probation to Confirm the "A" men a year earlier, and thus put the 'B' men for no act of their own in a position of inferiority.

On account of these invidious rules, the Government of His Highness the Maharaja have been recently obliged to Confirm two officers of the A Schedule who did not exist in service at all at the time I was appointed.

Thus the intentions

3/ of Government as laid in G.O. of 1907 to equalise as far as possible the pay and prospects of the officers of the Mysore Civil Service have not been Carried out in the Case of the Probationary Assistant Commissioners of the B. Schedule but in fact an injustice has been done to these.

Government had no opportunity to watch this unhappy working in practice of the Civil Service Rules framed in 1907 in the Case of Probationary Assistant Commissioners of B Schedule, as no Case arose till now of the Confirmation of an officer directly nominated into the Civil Service. It is but just that I should most humbly request you to move the Government to amend the rules; it is but natural that I should pray for equality of treatment

I pray, Sir, that you should kindly take a broad and Statesmanlike view of the entire Case and

4/ recommend to Government the necessity of amending the Civil Service Rules of 1907 So that a general rule may be framed requiring Probationary Assistant Commissioners of both Schedules, both of them being equally devoted and efficient Servants of the State, to undergo only two years of probation &c, I beg to Submit that unless the rules are thus modified and the benign Government of His Highness the Maharaja are pleased to Confirm me at the end of two years from the date of my appointment which is the twenty-ninth of July 1908, the deep injustice of the Supersession by Probationers who Came after me will become permanent and past all repair, happening as it does at the beginning of my official Career.

I Submit herewith the needful Certificates Showing that I have passed the tests prescribed for assistants

5/

Commissioners and I most humbly request you'r to be so gracious as to grant me the Confirmation.

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
S Subbarayan
Pro. Assistant Commissioner in Charge
of the Mysore Dt. Treasury.

List of Certificates attached :—

One Equivalent Certificate
One Revenue Examination Certificate
One Registration Examination Certificate

Date of my passing the Kanarese examination is announced in Govt. Proceedings No. G 672 - G.M. 42-09-104 dated 1st August 1910

G.R.S. 28.]

ab

8

File No. 25—10

Serial No. 57

Diary No. of letter replied to

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

, *the* 19

Date of issue 11. 7. 11

Signed by Mr. B Narainsamanga

READ again—

G.O. No. G. 3018-G.R. 23-10-18 dated the 16th Nov. 1910 confirming Mr. S. Venkatarangam that as a Prof. Asstt. Coll. Sch. B, he should pass 3 years of probation before he can be confirmed.

ORDER THEREON—NIL

2. Letter No. 10834 dated the 17th April 1911 from the Hon. Coll. transmitting an application from the above Officer repeating his request for confirmation stating that he has passed all the necessary departmental examinations and

P.T. over

SUBJECT.

GPB—10,000—19-4-10

at the end of 2 years' probation
at the case of Prof. Asutosh Mukh
of the Schedule.

—

Offg. Secretary. &

The Rev. Registr. is

requested to inform

Mr. Sankara Ganapathy that his

request is inadmissible under

the rules.

To

The Secy
15.4'41

The Rev. Registr (returning
the enclosure)